

University of Groningen

Changes in articular cartilage after meniscectomy and meniscus

Hannink, Gerjon; Tienen, Tony G. van; Schouten, Arend Jan; Buma, Pieter

Published in:
Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy

DOI:
[10.1002/jbm.b.32773](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.32773)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hannink, G., Tienen, T. G. V., Schouten, A. J., & Buma, P. (2012). Changes in articular cartilage after meniscectomy and meniscus. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*, 20(9), 1881-1882. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.32773>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Changes in articular cartilage after meniscectomy and meniscus replacement using a biodegradable porous polymer implant

Gerjon Hannink · Tony G. van Tienen ·
Arend Jan Schouten · Pieter Buma

Received: 7 August 2011 / Accepted: 30 August 2011 / Published online: 10 September 2011
© The Author(s) 2011. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Dear editors,

We would like to thank Dr. Beaufils for his interest in our work. Dr. Beaufils wonders whether the model used in our study is relevant to clinical practice, and he appears to be worried that our study might cause readers to abandon the use of biodegradable synthetic implants for partial meniscus replacement in clinical practice.

It was not the purpose of our study to attack or defend the use of synthetic meniscus replacements for partial meniscus replacement as currently employed in clinical practice, but rather to provide insight into the progression of cartilage degeneration involved with the use of synthetic materials with initially insufficient mechanical and/or chondroprotective properties for total meniscus replacement.

Our study was a continuation of previous work in which articular cartilage degeneration after total meniscectomy was compared to that after implantation of a porous polymer meniscus in dogs after 3 and 6 months [7]. At both follow-up periods, the porous polymer implant could not prevent cartilage degeneration. It was speculated that cartilage degeneration merely had taken place during the first months of implantation. During the first period after

implantation, the relatively rough prosthetic surface, not yet covered with tissue was in direct contact with the articular cartilage. However, between 3 and 6 months, the whole implant became covered with a tissue layer. In the long term, when the implant is completely infiltrated and surrounded with tissue, the functionality and mechanical properties of the construct improve, and as a consequence, the progression of the cartilage degeneration might end. Therefore, the aim of our present study was to evaluate the long-term effects of implantation of a polymer implant for total meniscus replacement compared to those of total meniscectomy on articular cartilage degeneration [2].

We are aware that our experimental model does not correspond to current clinical practice. In current clinical practice, the outer rim of the meniscus is left in situ when a partial meniscus replacement using synthetic materials is performed [3, 4, 8]. The outer rim protects the implant from overloading and will prevent the implant from being pushed into a peripheral position, resulting in almost normal contact stresses between femur and tibia. Dr. Beaufils rightly indicates that currently total meniscectomy is a contraindication for this type of meniscus replacement. It has mainly been our experimental work that provided the contraindication for the use of this type of polymer for total meniscus replacement [5, 6, 9]. However, a contraindication does not exclude further research to be performed into total meniscus replacement using synthetic materials.

Advances in clinical practice often have their roots in basic science, providing the proof of principle of the treatment concept in question [1]. This is of critical importance, especially in meniscus research, where the functional anatomy of the structure is directly related to its contribution to the complex biomechanics of the joint and its role in chondroprotection. Increased insight in cartilage damage progression, modifications to material properties,

G. Hannink (✉) · P. Buma
Orthopaedic Research Lab, Department of Orthopaedics,
Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, P.O. Box 9101,
6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands
e-mail: g.hannink@orthop.umcn.nl

T. G. van Tienen
ViaSana, P.O. Box 4, 5450 AA Mill, The Netherlands

A. J. Schouten
Polymer Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics & Natural Sciences,
University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen,
The Netherlands

and changes in design might enable the use of a synthetic implant for total meniscus replacement in clinical practice in the near future.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

1. Arnoczky SP, Cook JL, Carter T, Turner AS (2010) Translational models for studying meniscal repair and replacement: what they can and cannot tell us. *Tissue Eng B Rev* 16(1):31–39
2. Hannink G, van Tienen TG, Schouten AJ, Buma P (2011) Changes in articular cartilage after meniscectomy and meniscus replacement using a biodegradable porous polymer implant. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 19(3):441–451
3. Monllau JC, Pelfort X, Tey M (2010) Collagen meniscus implant: technique and results. In: Beaufils P, Verdonk R (eds) *The meniscus*. Springer, Berlin, pp 373–382
4. van Tienen TG, Hannink G, Buma P (2009) Meniscus replacement using synthetic materials. *Clin Sports Med* 28(1):143–156
5. van Tienen TG, Heijkants RG, Buma P, De Groot JH, Pennings AJ, Veth RP (2003) A porous polymer scaffold for meniscal lesion repair—a study in dogs. *Biomaterials* 24(14):2541–2548
6. van Tienen TG, Heijkants RG, de Groot JH, Pennings AJ, Poole AR, Veth RP, Buma P (2003) Presence and mechanism of knee articular cartilage degeneration after meniscal reconstruction in dogs. *Osteoarthr Cartil* 11(1):78–84
7. van Tienen TG, Heijkants RG, de Groot JH, Pennings AJ, Schouten AJ, Veth RP, Buma P (2006) Replacement of the knee meniscus by a porous polymer implant: a study in dogs. *Am J Sports Med* 34(1):64–71
8. Verdonk R, Verdonk P, Heinrichs EL (2010) Polyurethane meniscus implant: technique. In: Beaufils P, Verdonk R (eds) *The meniscus*. Springer, Berlin, pp 389–394
9. Welsing RT, van Tienen TG, Ramrattan N, Heijkants R, Schouten AJ, Veth RP, Buma P (2008) Effect on tissue differentiation and articular cartilage degradation of a polymer meniscus implant: a 2-year follow-up study in dogs. *Am J Sports Med* 36(10):1978–1989